



The Effects on Treaty Rights

If the Proposed Site C Dam were to be constructed

- BC Hydro is taking the “go somewhere else” stance when it comes to the practice of Treaty Rights
- The valley is too important to “go somewhere else”, what is left that is not impacted already?
- The valley remaining **free of Site C** is important to continued practice of Treaty Rights
- BC Hydro is not assessing how the impacts of Site C will impact Treaty Rights
- The valley contains a large number of important cultural and historical sites and values.
- How does “go somewhere else” deal with this issue?
- **Cultural loss is a key issue that BC Hydro is not taking seriously**



The Effects on Treaty Rights

If the Proposed Site C Dam were to be constructed

- BC Hydro is taking the “go somewhere else” stance when it comes to the practice of Treaty Rights
- The valley is too important to “go somewhere else”, what is left that is not impacted already?
- The valley remaining **free of Site C** is important to continued practice of Treaty Rights
- BC Hydro is not assessing how the impacts of Site C will impact Treaty Rights
- The valley contains a large number of important cultural and historical sites and values.
- How does “go somewhere else” deal with this issue?
- **Cultural loss is a key issue that BC Hydro is not taking seriously**



The Effects on Treaty Rights

If the Proposed Site C Dam were to be constructed

- BC Hydro is taking the “go somewhere else” stance when it comes to the practice of Treaty Rights
- The valley is too important to “go somewhere else”, what is left that is not impacted already?
- The valley remaining **free of Site C** is important to continued practice of Treaty Rights
- BC Hydro is not assessing how the impacts of Site C will impact Treaty Rights
- The valley contains a large number of important cultural and historical sites and values.
- How does “go somewhere else” deal with this issue?
- **Cultural loss is a key issue that BC Hydro is not taking seriously**



The Effects on Treaty Rights

If the Proposed Site C Dam were to be constructed

- BC Hydro is taking the “go somewhere else” stance when it comes to the practice of Treaty Rights
- The valley is too important to “go somewhere else”, what is left that is not impacted already?
- The valley remaining **free of Site C** is important to continued practice of Treaty Rights
- BC Hydro is not assessing how the impacts of Site C will impact Treaty Rights
- The valley contains a large number of important cultural and historical sites and values.
- How does “go somewhere else” deal with this issue?
- **Cultural loss is a key issue that BC Hydro is not taking seriously**

